

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9                   SOUTHERN DIVISION

10  
11 DANIEL TUROCY, Individually and         } No. 8:15-CV-01343-DOC-KES  
12 on Behalf of All Others Similarly         } CLASS ACTION  
13 Situated,   }  
14   } ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO  
15   } RESPOND TO COMPLAINT  
16 EL POLLO LOCO HOLDINGS, INC.,         }  
17 STEPHEN J. SATHER, LAURANCE         }  
18 ROBERTS, EDWARD J. VALLE,         }  
19 TRIMARAN POLLO PARTNERS,         }  
20 L.L.C., TRIMARAN CAPITAL         }  
21 PARTNERS, and FREEMAN SPOGLI         }  
22 & CO.,   }  
23   } Defendants.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

---

1 Based on the concurrently-filed Joint Stipulation Extending Time to Respond  
2 To Complaint, and for good cause appearing,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

4       1. No Defendant needs to respond to the Turocy Complaint already filed in  
5 this action or to any subsequently filed complaints until after the appointment of the  
6 lead plaintiff and after the filing by such lead plaintiff of a consolidated complaint.

7       2. The time for lead plaintiff to file a consolidated complaint shall be 60  
8 days after entry of an order appointing lead plaintiff pursuant to §21D(a)(3)(B), 15  
9 U.S.C. §78u-4 of the Exchange Act. The time for Defendants to answer, move or  
10 otherwise respond to the consolidated complaint shall be 60 days from filing of such  
11 lead plaintiff's consolidated complaint. In the event Defendants file a motion to  
12 dismiss the consolidated complaint, lead plaintiff shall have 60 days from filing of  
13 such motion in which to file papers in opposition to the motion. Defendants shall  
14 have 30 days from the filing of lead plaintiff's opposition papers in which to file reply  
15 papers.

16       3. This Stipulation is entered into without prejudice to any party seeking  
17 any interim relief.

18       4. Nothing herein shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of any rights,  
19 defenses, objections or any other application to any court that any party may have  
20 with respect to the claims set forth in the Turocy Complaint already filed in this  
21 action.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 || DATED: September 17, 2015

*David O. Carter*

---

THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE